courses: Mee ar coming /5 7

Date: 19 Jan. 1766

MES DISCLOSURE ACT

- 1. Rosting took place on 12 Jan. 1966 in Subject a room in the International House of Columbia University. Source cano to the room at 10:00 Pall, and talked with Subject untill 12:30 A.M., them ODADASYAM, Boris and MINIMEN Alamador cano in (both Soviet exchange students at Columbia University, and wold jokes untill 2:30 A.M. when neeting broke up.
- Source gave Subject the following books: WHILENTY WIATHSHOMO 2. KCHMITZH, TIVE IDEAS THAT CHANGE THE WORLD by Barbara Word, SIDESTRILYANE VIDE DEFENA by Muriy Lavrinonko. The journal UNIVALUERY ISTURYN No. 1/4. and reprints from the journal SUCHASTEST "Uder V Osnovy" by B. Browchiv and "Do Pysnemytsi Irono Vilão to ii Zonlyakiv Yaki no Boyatsa Praviy" by Roman Reckmaniy. Subject took the books gladly and were most interested in ROZIRILYAME VIDROD REAL by Levrinenke, asking who the author is end what he doos.
- Subject did not know any details about the arrost and oventuall execution of of a underground group app. 3-4 years ago. As fer as Subject ins informed there was a graduate of the Lyiv University Law School smong the group, but he did not know the name. As far as the idealogy of the group, Subject also was unaware, saying that he had heard of the incident only as a rumor in Mar, with no concrete frets given. When asked if this "group"



might have been formed by the KGD, as we done in the past, Subject said that he did not really know.

- Subject was correction that there are could the unite their comme, and pass than around from hand to hand, he maned VIMBRANOVSKY and DRAGH as examples of such, but said that noutly the poems are of an protile nature. Source argued that this was nonsones, and that SECTIME'S poetry was not in any was crotic in content. Subject agreed, but blened the people who sit in the editorial offices of Wereinian magazines for forcing the poets to record to such satisate. Subject then acked if Source was summe of a young literary critic DZUTDA, Iven. caying that in some of his public appcarances in the Ficy University and in various clubs in the city he said some very charp things about literature in Ulraine, some things that might even be concidered nationalistic by the amigration. When asked to elaborate on what DZUIM said, Subject seemed very, only mentioning that once in the University he attacked the newspap or "Literatyrna Ukraina" on the grounds that it is not a literary necessary at all, and is not friendly to young Unaimian writtens in its policy. Subject was very such impressed by this speech, adding that it is not the only one and that DEIVEA is not the only representative of the young Ukrainian generation to make suchspeeches. that VIMINATURED also is noted for his sharp comments. On this topic Subject und not too willing claborate.
- 5. Subject agreed to send Source a newspaper put out by the students of the Kiev University (Stin-hezeta) adding that it is a very interesting piece of literature, edited by the students themselves. Subject also promised to



cond copies of the nest interesting newspaper in Maraine. Subject praised the journal "Numeric very leads, soping that it is really very lead,

- 6. When Sou so may Subject the "Graphic Art Colonder for 1766" he was very pleased and thankful, saying that his wifes brother DACINO would be very grateful for it.
- 1000 time in the Unit, and in Uhraine perticularly. Adjust went on by stating that he considers himself a rationalist, a person who loves his own land, Uhraine, it's culture, language and history. When asked about the contradicting views taked by the official Seviet propaganda mechine, and the idea of internationalism, Sujbject explained that there are many points that are not clear yet in the nationality policy, and many things are written, which do not take head of the times. On the other hand, Subject weld that he was against bourgoois nationalism and all its manifesations. Then asked for a cofinite explanation of the term "bourgoois nationalism" Subject said that this is primarily the idea of separating Ukraine from the USOR.
- 8. Then asked if the Soviet people have trust in their government, and the party, Orbject was at March confused by the question. Source explained that the party has made many mistakes in the last forty years, has proxised the people many benefits, but in reallity little has been given to the people subject replied that he knew very well what is being done, but evaded a



direct answer. Course continued by saying that the people in the Soviet thien clarks have to be led around and told what is good for them, and what is bad. The society in general is not estancement to read what is written in the west, and even a poen which contains a hidden line is looked upon as a terrible doed, Source added that in the west nobedy you even try to read a poen vertically, subject gid not may anything about the state of Soviet Society, but mentioned that the poen "Slove Dalekok Ukraintaya" is not regard as a terrible deed, and the incident was not even printed in the papara. Source rapidly asked "then how do you know about it" and Subject reglied rapidly "by wifels brother told me", after this rather sharp embange Subject looked at Source sharply for a few mes

1800

- 9. When ODABASIAN and HINDIALLY cane into the room the atmosphere change and there was a lot of joining and telling of anectodes. A few were told by Subject that were definitly anti-sendtic. During this time there was a political conversation.
- 10. Biographic Data: Subject's father is a director of a factory in the Poltava region in Ularaine, his mother is retired and on a possion. Subject has a brother who is married and older than him. While Subject was a stud in Lviv he belonged to a sport club which had members all over Western Uk